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## Bisimulation equivalence

Let $S_{i}=\left(Q_{i}, Q_{0, i}, E_{i}, L_{i}\right), i=1,2$, be two state graphs over AP.
A bisimulation for $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ is a binary relation $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Q_{1} \times Q_{2}$ such that:

1. $\forall q_{1} \in Q_{0,1} \exists q_{2} \in Q_{0,2} .\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ and
$\forall q_{2} \in Q_{0,2} \exists q_{1} \in Q_{0,1} .\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$
2. for all states $q_{1} \in Q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q_{2}$ with $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ it holds:
(a) $L_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=L_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)$
(b) if $q_{1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Successors}\left(q_{1}\right)$ then there exists $q_{2}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Successors}\left(q_{2}\right)$ with $\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$
(c) if $q_{2}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Successors}\left(q_{2}\right)$ then there exists $q_{1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Successors}\left(q_{1}\right)$ with $\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are bisimilar, denoted $S_{1} \sim S_{2}$, if there exists a bisimulation for $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$

## Coarsest bisimulation

## $\sim_{s}$ is an equivalence and the coarsest bisimulation for $S$

## Quotient state graph

For $S=\left(Q, Q_{0}, E, L\right)$ and bisimulation $\sim_{s} \subseteq S \times S$ on $S$ let

$$
S / \sim_{s}=\left(Q^{\prime}, Q_{0}^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \text { be the quotient of } S \text { under } \sim_{s}
$$

where

- $Q^{\prime}=S / \sim_{s}=\left\{[q]_{\sim} \mid q \in Q\right\}$ with $[q]_{\sim}=\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q \mid q \sim_{s} q^{\prime}\right\}$
- $Q_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{[q] \sim \mid q \in Q_{0}\right\}$
- $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left([q]_{\sim},\left[q^{\prime}\right]_{\sim}\right) \mid\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in E\right\}$
- $L^{\prime}([q] \sim)=L(q)$

$$
\text { note that } S \sim S / \sim_{S} \quad \text { Why? }
$$

## Bisimulation vs. CTL* and CTL equivalence

Let $S$ be a finite state graph and $s, s^{\prime}$ states in $S$
The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $s \sim_{s} s^{\prime}$
(2) $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are CTL-equivalent, i.e., $s \equiv C T L s^{\prime}$
(3) $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are CTL*-equivalent, i.e., $s \equiv_{C T L^{*}} s^{\prime}$
this is proven in three steps: $\equiv_{C T L} \subseteq \sim \subseteq \equiv_{C T L *} \subseteq \equiv$ CTL
important: equivalence is also obtained for any sub-logic containing $\neg$, $\wedge$, and $\exists \bigcirc$

## The importance of this result

- CTL and CTL* equivalence coincide
- despite the fact that CTL* is more expressive than CTL
- Bisimilar transition systems preserve the same CTL* formulas
- and thus the same LTL formulas (and LT properties)
- Non-bisimilarity can be shown by a single CTL (or CTL*) formula
- $S_{1} \models \Phi$ and $S_{2} \not \models \Phi$ implies $S_{1} \nsim S_{2}$
- You even do not need to use an until-operator!
- To check $S \models \Phi$, it suffices to check $S / \sim \models \Phi$


## Bisimulation quotient state graph

For $S=\left(Q, Q_{0}, E, L\right)$ and bisimulation $\sim_{s} \subseteq Q \times Q$ on $S$ let

$$
S / \sim_{s}=\left(Q^{\prime}, Q_{0}^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { be the quotient of } S \text { under } \sim_{s}
$$

where

- $Q^{\prime}=Q / \sim_{s}=\left\{[q]_{\sim} \mid q \in Q\right\}$ with $[q]_{\sim}=\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q \mid q \sim_{s} q^{\prime}\right\}$
- $Q_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{[q]_{\sim} \mid q \in Q_{0}\right\}$
- $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left([q]_{\sim},\left[q^{\prime}\right]_{\sim}\right) \mid\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in E\right\}$
- $L^{\prime}([q] \sim)=L(q)$

$$
\text { note that } S \sim S / \sim_{S}
$$

## Quotient state graph / Partitioning

For $S=\left(Q, Q_{0}, E, L\right)$ and an equivalence relation $\sim \subseteq Q \times Q$ on $S$ let $S / \sim=\left(Q^{\prime}, Q_{0}^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \quad$ be the quotient of $S$ under $\sim$, where

- $Q^{\prime}=Q / \sim=\left\{[q]_{\sim} \mid q \in Q\right\}$ with $[q]_{\sim}=\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q \mid q \sim q^{\prime}\right\}$
- $Q_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{[q]_{\sim} \mid q \in Q_{0}\right\}$
- $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left([q]_{\sim},\left[q^{\prime}\right]_{\sim}\right) \mid\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in E\right\}$
- $L^{\prime}([q] \sim)=L(q)$

A partition $\Pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$ of $Q$ is a set of nonempty $\left(B_{i} \neq \varnothing\right)$ and pairwise disjoint blocks $B_{i}$ that decompose $Q\left(Q=\biguplus_{i=1, \ldots k} B_{i}\right)$.
A partition defines an equivalence relation $\sim\left(\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in \sim \Leftrightarrow \exists Q_{i} \in \Pi . q, q^{\prime} \in B_{i}\right)$. Likewise, an equivalence relation $\sim$ defines a partition $\Pi=Q / \sim$.

## Blocks, Superblocks, and Stability

A partition $\Pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$ of $Q$ is a set of nonempty ( $B_{i} \neq \varnothing$ ) and pairwise disjoint blocks $B_{i}$ that decompose $Q\left(Q=\biguplus_{i=1, \ldots, k} B_{i}\right)$.

A nonempty union $C=\biguplus_{i \in I} B_{i}$ of blocks is called a superblock.
A block $B_{i}$ of a partition $\Pi$ is called stable w.r.t. a set $B$ if either $B_{i} \cap$ $\operatorname{Pre}(B)=\varnothing$, or $B_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{Pre}(B)$.

$$
(\operatorname{Pre}(B)=\{q \in Q \mid \text { Successors }(q) \cap B \neq \varnothing\})
$$

A partition $\Pi$ is called stable w.r.t. a set $B$ if all blocks of $\Pi$ are.
Lemma 1. A partition $\Pi$ with consistently labeled blocks is stable with respect to all of its (super)blocks if, and only if, it is the quotient of a bisimulation relation ( $\Pi=Q / \sim$ ).

## Partition refinement

For two partitions $\Pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}=\left\{B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $Q$, we say that $\Pi$ is finer than $\Pi^{\prime}$ iff every block of $\Pi^{\prime}$ is a superblock of $\Pi$.

For a given partition $\Pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$, we call a (super)block $C$ of $\Pi$ a splitter of a block $B_{i} /$ the partition $\Pi$ if $B_{i} / \Pi$ is not stable w.r.t. $C$.

Refine $\left(B_{i}, C\right)$ denotes $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ if $B_{i}$ is stable w.r.t. $C$, and $\left\{B_{i} \cap \operatorname{Pre}(C), B_{i} \backslash\right.$ $\operatorname{Pre}(C)\}$ if $C$ is a splitter of $C$.
$\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, C)=\biguplus_{i=1, \ldots, k} \operatorname{Refine}\left(B_{i}, C\right)$.
Lemma 2. Refine $(\Pi, C)$ is finer than $\Pi$.
Lemma 3. If $\Pi$ is finer than $\Pi^{\prime}$ then $\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, C)$ is finer than $\operatorname{Refine}\left(\Pi^{\prime}, C\right)$.

## Algorithms for bisimulation quotienting

Input: Transition system $S=\left(Q, Q_{0}, E, L\right)$
Output: Bisimulation quotient state graph

1. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P} \quad\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in \sim_{A P} \Leftrightarrow L(q)=L\left(q^{\prime}\right)$
2. while some block $B \in \Pi$ is a splitter of $\Pi$ loop invariant: $\Pi$ is coarser than $Q / \sim_{S}$
(a) pick a block $B$ that is a splitter of $\Pi$
(b) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, B)$
3. return $\Pi$

## Correctness and termination

1. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P}$
2. while some block $B \in \Pi$ is a splitter of $\Pi$

$$
\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in \sim_{A P} \Leftrightarrow L(q)=L\left(q^{\prime}\right)
$$

(a) pick a block $B$ that is a splitter of $\Pi$
(b) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, B)$
3. return $\Pi$

Lemma 4. The algorithm terminates.
Lemma 5. The loop invariant holds initially.
Lemma 6. The loop invariant is preserved.
Theorem 7. The algorithm returns the quotient $Q / \sim_{S}$ of the coarsest bisimulation $\sim_{S}$.

## Complexity

1. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P}$
2. while some block $B \in \Pi$ is a splitter of $\Pi$

$$
\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in \sim_{A P} \Leftrightarrow L(q)=L\left(q^{\prime}\right)
$$

(a) pick a block $B$ that is a splitter of $\Pi$
(b) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, B)$
3. return $\Pi$

Lemma 8. $Q / \sim_{A P}$ can be constructed in time $\mathcal{O}(|Q| \cdot|A P|)$.
Proof Idea. Build tree that branches by the atomic propositions. The leafs are labeled with the elements of $Q / \sim_{A P}$.

The complexity of each refinement step depends on the strategy how $B$ is picked.

## Refinement complexity

2. while some block $B \in \Pi$ is a splitter of $\Pi$
(a) pick a block $B$ that is a splitter of $\Pi$
(b) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, B)$

Trying all $B \in \Pi$ takes $\mathcal{O}(|E|)$ time.

- There may be $\mathcal{O}(|Q|)$ splits.

Corollary 9. The overall algorithm takes $\mathcal{O}(|Q| \cdot(|A P|+|E|))$ time.

## Refinement complexity

2. while some block $B \in \Pi$ is a splitter of $\Pi$
(a) pick a block $B$ that is a splitter of $\Pi$
(b) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}(\Pi, B)$

Trying all $B \in \Pi$ takes $\mathcal{O}(|E|)$ time.

- There may be $\mathcal{O}(|Q|)$ splits.

Corollary 9. The overall algorithm takes $\mathcal{O}(|Q| \cdot(|A P|+|E|))$ time.

## An improved algorithm for bisimulation quotienting

Input: Transition system $S=\left(Q, Q_{0}, E, L\right)$
Output: Bisimulation quotient state graph

1. $\Xi=\{Q\}$
2. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P}$
3. while $\Xi \neq \Pi$
(a) Pick $B \in \Xi \backslash \Pi$
(b) Pick $B^{\prime} \in \Pi$ such that $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ and $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|B|$
(c) $\Xi=(\Xi \backslash\{B\}) \cup\left\{B^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{B \backslash B^{\prime}\right\}$
(d) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}\left(\operatorname{Refine}\left(\Pi, B^{\prime}\right), B \backslash B^{\prime}\right)$
4. return $\Pi$

## Termination

1. $\Xi=\{Q\}$
2. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P}$
3. while $\Xi \neq \Pi$
(a) Pick $B \in \Xi \backslash \Pi$
(b) Pick $B^{\prime} \in \Pi$ such that $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ and $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|B|$
(c) $\Xi=(\Xi \backslash\{B\}) \cup\left\{B^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{B \backslash B^{\prime}\right\}$
(d) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}\left(\operatorname{Refine}\left(\Pi, B^{\prime}\right), B \backslash B^{\prime}\right)$
4. return $\Pi$

Lemma 10. The loop invariant $\Xi$ is coarser than $\Pi$ is coarser than $Q / \sim_{S}$ holds.
Lemma 11. $\Xi$ is strictly refined in every step of the while loop.

## Correctness

1. $\Xi=\{Q\}$
2. $\Pi=Q / \sim_{A P}$
3. while $\Xi \neq \Pi$
(a) Pick $B \in \Xi \backslash \Pi$
(b) Pick $B^{\prime} \in \Pi$ such that $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ and $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|B|$
(c) $\Xi=(\Xi \backslash\{B\}) \cup\left\{B^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{B \backslash B^{\prime}\right\}$
(d) $\Pi=\operatorname{Refine}\left(\operatorname{Refine}\left(\Pi, B^{\prime}\right), B \backslash B^{\prime}\right)$
until $\Xi=\Pi$
4. return $\Pi$

Lemma 12. If $\Pi$ is finer than $\Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ is stable w.r.t. a set $C \subseteq Q$ than $\Pi$ is stable w.r.t. $C$.
Proof Sketch. If $A \in \Pi$ is splitted and $\Pi^{\prime} \ni A^{\prime} \supseteq A$ than $A^{\prime}$ is splitted.
Theorem 13. The algorithm returns the partition $Q / \sim_{S}$ of the coarsest bisimulation $\sim_{S}$.
Proof Idea. Loop invariant: $\Pi$ is stable w.r.t. every block in $\Xi$. $\Rightarrow \Pi$ is stable w.r.t. every block in $\Pi=\Xi$

