

Chapter 1

Many-Sorted Logic

DECISION PROCEDURES

LAST UPDATE OF LECTURE NOTES: TUESDAY, MARCH 7, 2006

LAST UPDATE OF THIS CHAPTER: WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2006.

1.1 Syntax

1.1.1 Definition

We fix an enumerable set **Sort** of SORTS.

1.1.2 Definition

We fix an enumerable set **Var** of VARIABLES. Each variable has associated to it a sort. We denote with \mathbf{Var}_σ the set of variables of sort σ . We assume that \mathbf{Var}_σ is enumerable, for all sorts σ .

1.1.3 Definition

We fix an enumerable set **Con** of CONSTANT SYMBOLS. Each constant symbol has associated to it a sort. We denote with \mathbf{Con}_σ the set of constant symbols of sort σ . We assume that \mathbf{Con}_σ is enumerable, for all sorts σ .

1.1.4 Definition

We fix an enumerable set **Fun** of FUNCTION SYMBOLS. Each function symbol has associated to it an arity of the form $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$, where $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma$ are sorts. We denote with $\mathbf{Fun}_{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma}$ the set of function symbols of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$. We assume that $\mathbf{Fun}_{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma}$ is enumerable, for all sorts $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma$.

1.1.5 Definition

We fix an enumerable set **Pred** of PREDICATE SYMBOLS. Each predicate symbol has associated to it an arity of the form $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$, where $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are sorts. We denote with $\mathbf{Pred}_{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n}$ the set of predicate symbols of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$. We assume that $\mathbf{Pred}_{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n}$ is enumerable, for all sorts $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$.

1.1.6 Definition

The EQUALITY SYMBOL is \approx .

1.1.7 Definition

The PROPOSITIONAL CONNECTIVES are

1. \neg (not);
2. \wedge (and);
3. \vee (or);
4. \rightarrow (implies);
5. \leftrightarrow (iff).

1.1.8 Definition

The UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER is \forall .

1.1.9 Definition

The EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER is \exists .

1.1.10 Definition

A SIGNATURE is a tuple $\Sigma = (S, C, F, P)$ where:

1. S is a nonempty set of sorts.
2. C is a countable set of constant symbols whose sorts belong to S .
3. F is a countable set of function symbols whose arities are constructed using sorts that belong to S .
4. P is a countable set of predicate symbols whose arities are constructed using sorts that belong to S .

Given a signature $\Sigma = (S, C, F, P)$, we write Σ^S for S , Σ^C for C , Σ^F for F , and Σ^P for P .

1.1.11 Definition

Let Σ be a signature. The set of Σ -TERMS of sort σ is the smallest set of expressions satisfying the following properties:

- Each variable x of sort σ is a term of sort σ , provided that $\sigma \in \Sigma^S$.
- Each constant symbol $c \in \Sigma^C$ of sort σ is a Σ -term of sort σ .
- If $f \in \Sigma^F$ is a function symbol of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ and t_i is a Σ -term of sort σ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term of sort σ .

1.1.12 Definition

Let Σ be a signature. A Σ -ATOM is an expressions of the form

$$s \approx t, \quad p(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad ,$$

where:

1. s and t are Σ -terms of the same sort;
2. $p \in \Sigma^P$ is a predicate symbol of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$ and t_i is a Σ -term of sort σ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

1.1.13 Definition

The set of Σ -FORMULAE is the smallest set of expressions satisfying the following properties:

1. Each Σ -atom is a Σ -formula.
2. If φ is a Σ -formula then $\neg\varphi$ is a Σ -formula.
3. If φ and ψ are Σ -formulae then $\varphi \wedge \psi$, $\varphi \vee \psi$, $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, and $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ are formulae.
4. If φ is a Σ -formula, $\sigma \in \Sigma^S$, and x is a variable of sort σ , then $(\forall_\sigma x)\varphi$ and $(\exists_\sigma x)\varphi$ are Σ -formulae.

1.1.14 Definition

A Σ -LITERAL is a formula of the form

$$\varphi, \quad \neg\varphi,$$

where φ is a Σ -atom.

1.1.15 Definition

A QUANTIFIER-FREE Σ -FORMULA is a Σ -formula in which no quantifier occurs.

1.1.16 Definition

Let t be a term, and let σ be a sort. We denote with $vars_\sigma(t)$ the set of variables of sort σ occurring in t . This set can be recursively defined as follows:

1. $vars_\sigma(x) = \{x\}$, for all variables x of sort σ .
2. $vars_\sigma(x) = \emptyset$, for all variables x whose sort is not σ .
3. $vars_\sigma(c) = \emptyset$, for all constant symbols c .
4. $vars_\sigma(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n vars_\sigma(t_i)$.

1.1.17 Definition

Let t be a term. We denote with $vars(t)$ the set of variables occurring in t , that is,

$$vars(t) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{Sort}} vars_\sigma(t).$$

1.1.18 Definition

Let T be a set of terms. We let

$$vars_\sigma(T) = \bigcup_{t \in T} vars_\sigma(t),$$

1.1.19 Definition

Let T be a set of terms. We let

$$\text{vars}(T) = \bigcup_{t \in T} \text{vars}(t).$$

1.1.20 Definition

Let φ be a formula, and let σ be a sort. We denote with $\text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi)$ the set of variables occurring free in φ . This set can be recursively defined as follows:

1. $\text{vars}_\sigma(s \approx t) = \text{vars}_\sigma(s) \cup \text{vars}_\sigma(t)$.
2. $\text{vars}_\sigma(p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars}_\sigma(t_i)$.
3. $\text{vars}_\sigma(\neg\varphi_1) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1)$.
4. $\text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \cup \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_2)$.
5. $\text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \cup \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_2)$.
6. $\text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \cup \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_2)$.
7. $\text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1 \leftrightarrow \varphi_2) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \cup \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_2)$.
8. $\text{vars}_\sigma((\forall_\tau x)\varphi_1) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$.
9. $\text{vars}_\sigma((\exists_\tau x)\varphi_1) = \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi_1) \setminus \{x\}$.

1.1.21 Definition

Let φ be a formula. We denote with $\text{vars}(\varphi)$ the set of variables occurring free in φ , that is,

$$\text{vars}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \text{Sort}} \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi).$$

1.1.22 Definition

Let Φ be a set of formulae. We let

$$\text{vars}_\sigma(\Phi) = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \text{vars}_\sigma(\varphi),$$

1.1.23 Definition

Let Φ be a set of formulae. We let

$$\text{vars}(\Phi) = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Phi} \text{vars}(\varphi).$$

1.1.24 Definition

Let Σ be a signature. A Σ -SENTENCE is a Σ -formula φ such that $\text{vars}(\varphi) = \emptyset$.

1.2 Semantics

1.2.1 Definition

Let Σ be a signature, and let X be a set of variables whose sorts are in Σ^S . A Σ -INTERPRETATION over X is a map satisfying the following properties:

1. Each sort $\sigma \in \Sigma^S$ is mapped to a nonempty domain A_σ .
2. Each variable $x \in X$ of sort σ is mapped to an element $x^A \in A_\sigma$.
3. Each constant symbol $c \in \Sigma^C$ of sort σ is mapped to an element $c^A \in A_\sigma$.
4. Each function symbol $f \in \Sigma^F$ of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ is mapped to a function $f^A : A_{\sigma_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\sigma_n} \rightarrow A_\sigma$.
5. Each predicate symbol $p \in \Sigma^P$ of arity $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$ is mapped to a subset $p^A \subseteq A_{\sigma_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\sigma_n}$.

1.2.2 Definition

Let Σ be a signature. A Σ -STRUCTURE is a Σ -interpretation over an empty set of variables.

1.2.3 Definition

Let Σ be a signature, let t be a Σ -term of sort σ , and let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X such that $\text{vars}(t) \subseteq X$. The EVALUATION of t under \mathcal{A} is the object $t^A \in A_\sigma$ recursively defined as follows:

1. The evaluation of a variable x is x^A .
2. The evaluation of a constant symbol c is c^A .
3. The evaluation of a term $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is

$$[f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]^A = f^A(t_1^A, \dots, t_n^A).$$

1.2.4 Definition

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Σ -interpretations over X , and let $x \in X$ be a variable. We say that \mathcal{B} is an x -VARIANT of \mathcal{A} if:

1. $A_\sigma = B_\sigma$, for all sorts $\sigma \in \Sigma^S$.
2. $r^A = r^B$, for all objects $r \in \Sigma^C \cup \Sigma^F \cup \Sigma^P \cup (X \setminus \{x\})$.

1.2.5 Definition

Let Σ be a signature, let φ be a Σ -formula, and let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X such that $\text{vars}(\varphi) \subseteq X$. The EVALUATION of φ under \mathcal{A} is the truth value $\varphi^A \in A_\sigma$ recursively defined as follows:

1. $[s \approx t]^A = \text{true} \iff s^A = t^A$.
2. $[p(t_1, \dots, t_n)]^A = \text{true} \iff (t_1^A, \dots, t_n^A) \in p^A$.

3. $[\neg\varphi]^A = \text{true} \iff \varphi^A = \text{false}.$
4. $[\varphi \wedge \psi]^A = \text{true} \iff \varphi^A = \text{true} \text{ and } \psi^A = \text{true}.$
5. $[\varphi \vee \psi]^A = \text{true} \iff \varphi^A = \text{true} \text{ or } \psi^A = \text{true}.$
6. $[\varphi \rightarrow \psi]^A = \text{true} \iff \varphi^A = \text{false} \text{ or } \psi^A = \text{true}.$
7. $[(\forall_\sigma x)\varphi]^A = \text{true} \iff$

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{B}} = \text{true}, \quad \text{for all } x\text{-variants } \mathcal{B} \text{ of } \mathcal{A}.$$
8. $[(\exists_\sigma x)\varphi]^A = \text{true} \iff$

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{B}} = \text{true}, \quad \text{for some } x\text{-variant } \mathcal{B} \text{ of } \mathcal{A}.$$

1.2.6 Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X , and let φ be a Σ -formula such that $\text{vars}(\varphi) \subseteq X$. We write

$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$$

when $\varphi^A = \text{true}$.

1.2.7 Definition

Let φ be a Σ -formula, and let $X = \text{vars}(\varphi)$. We say that φ is:

- VALID, if $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$, for all Σ -interpretations \mathcal{A} over X ;
- SATISFIABLE, if $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$, for some Σ -interpretation \mathcal{A} over X ;
- UNSATISFIABLE, if φ is not satisfiable.

1.2.8 Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X , and let Φ be a set of Σ -formulae such that $\text{vars}(\Phi) \subseteq X$. We write

$$\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$$

when

$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi, \quad \text{for all formulae } \varphi \in \Phi.$$

1.2.9 Definition

Let Φ be a set of Σ -formulae, and let $X = \text{vars}(\Phi)$. We say that Φ is:

- VALID, if $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$, for all Σ -interpretations \mathcal{A} over X ;
- SATISFIABLE, if $\mathcal{A} \models \Phi$, for some Σ -interpretation \mathcal{A} over X ;
- UNSATISFIABLE, if Φ is not satisfiable.

1.2.10 Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X . For $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$ and $X_0 \subseteq X$, we denote with $\mathcal{A}^{\Sigma_0, X_0}$ the interpretation obtained from \mathcal{A} by restricting it to interpret only the symbols in Σ_0 and the variables in X_0 . Furthermore, we let $\mathcal{A}^{\Sigma_0} = \mathcal{A}^{\Sigma_0, \emptyset}$.

1.2.11 Definition

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two Σ -interpretations over X . An ISOMORPHISM h of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} is a family of bijective functions

$$h = \{h_\sigma : A_\sigma \rightarrow B_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma^S\}$$

such that:

1. $h_\sigma(x^A) = x^B$, for all variables $x \in X_\sigma$.
2. $h_\sigma(c^A) = c^B$, for all constant symbols $c \in \Sigma^C$.
3. $h_\sigma(f^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f^B(h_{\sigma_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{\sigma_n}(a_n))$, for all function symbol $f \in \Sigma^F$ of arity $\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$.
4. $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in p^A$ if and only if $(h_{\sigma_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{\sigma_n}(a_n)) \in p^B$, for all predicate symbol $p \in \Sigma^P$ of arity $\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n$.

We write $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ when there is an isomorphism of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} .

1.3 Modelclasses

1.3.1 Definition

A Σ -MODELCLASS is a pair $M = (\Sigma, \mathbf{A})$ such that:

1. Σ is a signature;
2. \mathbf{A} is a class of Σ -structures;
3. \mathbf{A} is closed under isomorphism.

1.3.2 Definition

Let $M = (\Sigma, \mathbf{A})$ be a modelclass. An M -STRUCTURE is a Σ -structure \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{A}$.

1.3.3 Definition

Let $M = (\Sigma, \mathbf{A})$ be a modelclass. An M -INTERPRETATION is a Σ -interpretation \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A}^Σ is a Σ -structure.

1.3.4 Definition

Let M be a Σ -modelclass, let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X , and let φ be a Σ -formula such that $\text{vars}(\varphi) \subseteq X$. We write

$$\mathcal{A} \models_M \varphi,$$

whenever $\varphi^A = \text{true}$ and \mathcal{A}^Σ is an M -structure.

1.3.5 Definition

Let M be a Σ -modelclass, let φ be a Σ -formula, and let $X = \text{vars}(\varphi)$. We say that φ is:

- M -VALID, if $\mathcal{A} \models_M \varphi$, for all M -interpretations \mathcal{A} over X ;
- M -SATISFIABLE, if $\mathcal{A} \models_M \varphi$, for some M -interpretation \mathcal{A} over X ;
- M -UNSATISFIABLE, if φ is not M -satisfiable.

1.3.6 Definition

Let M be a Σ -modelclass, let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -interpretation over X , and let Φ be a set of Σ -formulae such that $\text{vars}(\Phi) \subseteq X$. We write

$$\mathcal{A} \models_M \Phi$$

when

$$\mathcal{A} \models_M \varphi, \quad \text{for all formulae } \varphi \in \Phi.$$

1.3.7 Definition

Let M be a Σ -modelclass, let Φ be a set of Σ -formulae, and let $X = \text{vars}(\Phi)$. We say that Φ is:

- M -VALID, if $\mathcal{A} \models_M \Phi$, for all Σ -interpretations \mathcal{A} over X ;
- M -SATISFIABLE, if $\mathcal{A} \models_M \Phi$, for some Σ -interpretation \mathcal{A} over X ;
- M -UNSATISFIABLE, if Φ is not M -satisfiable.

1.3.8 Definition

Let M be a Σ -modelclass, and let L be a set of Σ -formulae. We define the following decision problems:

- The VALIDITY PROBLEM of M with respect to L is the problem of deciding, for each Σ -formula $\varphi \in L$, whether or not φ is M -valid.
- The SATISFIABILITY PROBLEM of M with respect to L is the problem of deciding, for each Σ -formula $\varphi \in L$, whether or not φ is M -satisfiable.
- The UNSATISFIABILITY PROBLEM of M with respect to L is the problem of deciding, for each Σ -formula $\varphi \in L$, whether or not φ is M -unsatisfiable.

When we mention a decision problem without specifying the set of formulae L , we implicitly assume that L is the set of all Σ -formulae. For instance, if M is a Σ -modelclass, the validity problem of a Σ -model class M is the problem of deciding, for each Σ -formula φ whether or not φ is M -valid.

When we prefix the name of a decision problem with “quantifier-free”, we implicitly assume that L is the set of all quantifier-free Σ -formulae. For instance, the quantifier-free satisfiability problem of a Σ -model class M is the problem of deciding, for each quantifier-free Σ -formula φ whether or not φ is M -satisfiable.