## **Automata, Games & Verification**

#3

**Definition 1.** A (*nondeterministic*) Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a tuple (S, I, T, F):

- *S* : *a* finite set of states;
- $I \subseteq S$ : a subset of initial states;
- $T \subseteq S \times \Sigma \times S$ : a set of transitions;
- $F \subseteq S$ : a subset of accepting states.

**Definition 2.** A run of a nondeterministic Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  on an infinite input word  $\alpha = \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots$  is an infinite sequence of states  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots$  such that  $s_0 \in I$  and for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $(s_i, \sigma_i, s_{i+1}) \in T$ .

## **Definition 3.** A Büchi automaton A is deterministic when

- *I* is a singleton and
- $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma, \forall s, s_0, s_1 \in S$ .  $(s, \sigma, s_0) \in T \text{ and } (s, \sigma, s_1) \in T \implies s_0 = s_1.$

**Definition 4.** The infinity set of an infinite word  $\alpha \in \Upsilon^{\omega}$  is defined as follows

$$In(\alpha) = \{v \in \Upsilon \mid \forall i \exists j \, j \geq i \text{ and } \alpha(j) = v\}.$$

**Definition 5.** [Büchi Acceptance Condition] A run  $r = s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots$  of a Büchi automaton A is accepting if

$$In(r) \cap F \neq \emptyset.$$

**Definition 6.** A Büchi automaton A accepts an infinite word  $\alpha$  if there is an accepting run of A on  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 7.** The language recognized by Büchi automaton A is defined as follows:

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega} \, | \, \mathcal{A} \text{ accepts } \alpha \}.$ 

**Definition 8.** An  $\omega$ -language L is Büchi recognizable if there is a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = L$ .

## **Definition 9.** A Büchi automaton is complete if

$$\forall s \in S, \sigma \in \Sigma, \exists s' \in S \, . \, (s, \sigma, s') \in T.$$

**Theorem 1.** For every Büchi automaton A, there is a complete Büchi automaton A' such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{L}(A')$ .

## **Büchi's Characterization Theorem**

**Definition 10.** The  $\omega$ -regular expressions are defined as follows.

- If R is an regular expression where  $\varepsilon \notin \mathcal{L}(R)$ , then  $R^{\omega}$  is an  $\omega$ -regular expression.  $\mathcal{L}(R^{\omega}) = \mathcal{L}(R)^{\omega}$ where  $L^{\omega} = \{u_0 u_1 \dots | u_i \in L, |u_i| > 0 \text{ for all } i \in \omega\}$  for  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ .
- If R is a regular expression and U is an ω-regular expression, then R · U is an ω-regular expression.
  L(R · U) = L(R) · L(U) where L<sub>1</sub> · L<sub>2</sub> = {r · u | r ∈ L<sub>1</sub>, u ∈ L<sub>2</sub>} for L<sub>1</sub> ⊆ Σ\*, L<sub>2</sub> ⊆ Σ<sup>ω</sup>.
- If  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are  $\omega$ -regular expressions, then  $U_1 + U_2$  is an  $\omega$ -regular expression.  $\mathcal{L}(U_1 + U_2) = \mathcal{L}(U_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(U_2)$ .

**Definition 11.** An  $\omega$ -regular language is a finite union of  $\omega$ -languages of the form  $U \cdot V^{\omega}$  where  $U, V \subseteq \Sigma^*$  are regular languages.

**Theorem 2.** If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are Büchi recognizable, then so is  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are Büchi recognizable, then so is  $L_1 \cap L_2$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $L_1$  is a regular language and  $L_2$  is Büchi recognizable, then  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  is Büchi-recognizable.

**Theorem 5.** If L is a regular language then  $L^{\omega}$  is Büchi recognizable.

**Theorem 6.** [Büchi's Characterization Theorem (1962)] An  $\omega$ -language is Büchi recognizable iff it is  $\omega$ -regular.