Automata, Games, and Verification

- 1. Tree Automata & S2S (tutorial A: group G01, tutorial B: group G04)
 - a) Give a Büchi tree automaton and an S2S formula for the language $I = \{x \in T, y \in T\}$ there is a branch in x with infinitely m
 - $L_1 = \{v \in T_{\{a,b\}} \mid \text{ there is a branch in } v \text{ with infinitely many } a\}$
 - b) Give a co-Büchi tree automaton and an S2S formula for the language $L_2 = \{v \in T_{\{a,b,c\}} \mid each branch in v has at least one a$ and the entire tree has at most one $b\}$
 - c) Give a Muller tree automaton and an S2S formula for the language $L_3 = \{v \in T_{\{a,b\}} \mid ach branch in v has only finitely many a\}$
- 2. Deterministic tree automata (tutorial A: group G05, tutorial B: group G06)

Compare the expressive power of deterministic and non-deterministic parity tree automata. We call a parity tree automaton $\mathcal{A} = (S, s_0, M, c)$ over the alphabet Σ deterministic if for every $s \in S$ and $x \in \Sigma$, there exists at most one pair $(s_1, s_2) \in S^2$ such that $(s, x, s_1, s_2) \in M$.

3. Arena-preserving game conversions (tutorial A: group G13, tutorial B: group G10)

Let an arena $\mathcal{A} = (V_0, V_1, E)$ and a position $v_{in} \in V_0$ be given. Consider all combinations (a, b) of winning conditions from the list below. For which of the combinations does it hold that if you are given an *a*-type winning condition \mathcal{F} , you can always convert it to a *b*-type winning condition \mathcal{F}' such that the winning strategies for the two players from v_{in} in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ are the same as from v_{in} in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}')$?

List of winning condition types:

- Reachability games
- Büchi games
- Parity games
- Rabin games
- Streett games
- Muller games

Hint: Use the fact that some of the winning conditions are special cases of others to reduce the number of cases to consider.

4. Update Games (Challenge question)

Reconsider the class of update games that was defined on the previous problem sheet.

a) Prove the following:

If \mathcal{G} is an update game with a forced cycle of length ≥ 4 , then we can construct a new game \mathcal{G}' with fewer nodes, such that \mathcal{G} is an update network iff \mathcal{G}' is an update network.

b) Using this result, provide a decision procedure that determines whether an update game is an update network.