Automata, Games and Verification: Lecture 14

Reference: An Automata-Theoretic Approach to Branching-Time Model Checking by Orna Kupferman, Moshe Y. Vardi, and Pierre Wolper

23 Alternating Tree Automata

Definition 1 An alternating tree automaton over binary Σ -trees is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (S, s_0, \delta, \varphi)$:

- S: finite set of states
- $s_0 \in S$
- $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{B}^+(\{0,1\} \times S)$ is the transition function.
- φ : acceptance condition (Büchi, parity, ...)

More general: set of directions $\mathcal{D} = \{0, \dots, k-1\}, T \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*, \text{ degree } d : \mathcal{D}^* \to \{1, \dots, k\}$

Definition 2 An alternating tree automaton over Σ -trees is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (S, s_0, \delta, \varphi)$:

- S: finite set of states
- $s_0 \in S$
- $\delta: S \times \Sigma \times \{1, \dots, k\} \to \mathbb{B}^+(\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \times S)$ is the transition function.
- φ : acceptance condition (Büchi, parity, ...)

Definition 3 A run of a tree automaton \mathcal{A} on a Σ -tree v is a $\mathcal{D}^* \times S$ -tree (T, r), s.t.

- 1. $r(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, s_0)$
- 2. Let $y \in T$ with r(y) = (x, q) and $\delta(q, v(x), d(x)) = \theta$. Then there is a (possibly empty) set $Q = \{(c_0, q'_0), (c_1, q'_1), \dots, (c_n, q'_n)\} \subseteq \{0, \dots, d(x) 1\} \times S$, such that the following hold:
 - $Q \models \theta$
 - for all $0 \le i \le n$, we have $y \cdot i \in T$ and $r(y \cdot i) = (x \cdot c_i, q'_i)$.

Definition 4 A run is accepting if every branch is accepting (by φ). A Σ -tree is accepted if there exists an accepting run.

Tree automata on Kripke structures

Example: For a pointed Kripke structure (\mathcal{M}, s_0) :



we build a computation tree t



Let k be the max number of successors in $\mathcal{M} = (S, R, L)$. Define a mapping: $f : \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^* \to S$:

- $f(\epsilon) = s_o$
- Assume there is, for each $s \in S$, a fixed order on the successors $(s, s'_1), (s, s'_2), \ldots \in E$ $f(w \cdot i) = s'_i$ where s'_i is the *i*th successor of s = f(w).

Definition 5 For a pointed Kripke structure (\mathcal{M}, s_0) over AP with $\mathcal{M} = (S, R, L)$, the computation tree of (\mathcal{M}, s_0) is a 2^{AP} -tree (T, t) with t(v) = L(f(v)) and d(v) = d(f(v)) for all $v \in T$.

Theorem 1 The computation tree of a pointed Kripke structure $(\mathcal{M}, q_0), \mathcal{M} = (S_{\mathcal{M}}, R, L)$ is accepted by an alternating tree automaton $\mathcal{A} = (S_{\mathcal{A}}, s_0, \delta, \varphi)$ iff Player Accept has a winning strategy from (s_0, q_0) in the following game:

•
$$V_0 = S_{\mathcal{A}} \times S_{\mathcal{M}}$$

- $V_0 = S_{\mathcal{A}} \times 2^{\{0,\dots,k-1\} \times S_{\mathcal{A}}} \times S_{\mathcal{M}}$
- $E = \{ ((s,q), (s,\eta,q)) \mid \eta \models \delta(s, L(q), d(q)) \}$ $\cup \{ ((s,\eta,q), (s',q')) \mid (i,q') \in \eta, s' \text{ is the ith successor of } s \}$
- winning condition: φ applied to the first component

CTL

Translation from CTL formula φ to alternating Büchi tree automaton \mathcal{A}_{φ} :

- $S = \text{closure}(\varphi) := \text{set of all subformulas and their negations}$
- for $p \in AP$:

$$-\delta(p,\sigma,k) = true \text{ if } p \in \sigma$$

- $-\delta(p,\sigma,k) = false \text{ if } p \notin \sigma$
- $-\delta(\neg p,\sigma,k) = false \text{ if } p \in \sigma$

$$-\delta(\neg p,\sigma,k) = true \text{ if } p \notin \sigma$$

- $\delta(\varphi \wedge \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \wedge \delta(\psi, \sigma, k)$
- $\delta(\varphi \lor \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \lor \delta(\psi, \sigma, k)$
- $\delta(\mathbf{AX}\varphi, \sigma, k) = \bigwedge_{c=0}^{k-1} (c, \varphi)$
- $\delta(\mathrm{EX}\varphi, \sigma, k) = \bigvee_{c=0}^{k-1} (c, \varphi)$
- $\delta(A\varphi \ \mathcal{U} \ \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\psi, \sigma, k) \lor (\delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \land \bigwedge_{c=0}^{k-1} (c, A\varphi \ \mathcal{U} \ \psi)$
- $\delta(\mathrm{E}\varphi \ \mathcal{U} \ \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\psi, \sigma, k) \lor (\delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \land \bigvee_{c=0}^{k-1} (c, \mathrm{E}\varphi \ \mathcal{U} \ \psi)$
- $\delta(\neg \varphi, \sigma, k) = \overline{\delta(\varphi, \sigma, k)}$

Theorem 2 For every CTL formula φ and a set of directions \mathcal{D} there is an alternating Büchi tree automaton \mathcal{A}_{φ} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D},\varphi})$ is exactly the set of \mathcal{D} -branching trees that satisfy φ .

Alternation-free μ -calculus

Guarded formulas: A μ -calculus formula is *guarded* if it is in normal form and for every quantified atomic proposition p, all occurrences are in the scope of a modality that is in the scope of the quantifier.

Example: $\mu y.(p \lor \diamondsuit y)$ is guarded, $\diamondsuit \mu y.(p \lor y)$ is not guarded.

Theorem 3 For every μ calculus formula in normal form there is an equivalent guarded formula.

Proof:

- Function $new: \mu$ -calculus formulas $\times \{\mu, \nu\} \times AP \to \mu$ -calculus formulas:
 - $new(p, \mu, p) = false$
 - $new(p, \nu, p) = true$
 - $new(\varphi \land \psi, \lambda, p) = new(\varphi, \lambda, p) \land new(\psi, \lambda, p)$
 - $new(\varphi \lor \psi, \lambda, p) = new(\varphi, \lambda, p) \lor new(\psi, \lambda, p)$
 - For all other formulas φ : $new(\varphi, \lambda, p) = \varphi$
- Note that the definition of the *new* function ensures that $\lambda y.\varphi(y)$ is semantically equivalent to $\lambda y.new(\varphi, \mu, y)(y)$ for all μ -calculus formulas $\varphi(y)$.
- Translation: Starting from the innermost quantified subformulas, replace $\lambda y.\varphi(y)$ by $new(\varphi, \lambda, y)(\lambda y.new(\varphi, \lambda, y)(y))$ (1)
- Note that in new(φ, μ, y)(y), all occurrences of y are in the scope of a modality, hence in (1), all occurrences of variables (e.g. z) that are in the scope of a fixpoint operator are also in the scope of a modality.

Closure $cl(\varphi)$ of a μ -calculus formula φ :

- $\varphi \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\psi \lor \eta \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi, \eta \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\psi \wedge \eta \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi, \eta \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\diamond \psi \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\Box \psi \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\mu y.\psi(y) \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi(\mu y.\psi(y)) \in cl(\varphi)$
- if $\nu y.\psi(y) \in cl(\varphi)$ then $\psi(\nu y.\psi(y)) \in cl(\varphi)$

Alternation-free μ -calculus: no ν between μy . and y; no μ between νy . and y. Translation from a guarded alternation-free μ -calculus formula φ to an alternating Büchi tree automaton \mathcal{A}_{φ} :

- $\delta(p,\sigma,k) = true$ if $p \in \sigma$
- $\delta(p,\sigma,k) = false \text{ if } p \notin \sigma$
- $\delta(\neg p, \sigma, k) = false \text{ if } p \in \sigma$
- $\delta(\neg p, \sigma, k) = true$ if $p \notin \sigma$
- $\delta(\varphi \wedge \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \wedge \delta(\psi, \sigma, k)$
- $\delta(\varphi \lor \psi, \sigma, k) = \delta(\varphi, \sigma, k) \lor \delta(\psi, \sigma, k)$

- $\delta(\Box\varphi,\sigma,k) = \bigwedge_{c=0}^{k-1} (c,\varphi)$
- $\delta(\Diamond \varphi, \sigma, k) = \bigvee_{c=0}^{k-1} (c, \varphi)$
- $\delta(\mu y.\psi(y), \sigma, k) = \delta(\psi(\mu y.\psi(y)), \sigma, k)$
- $\delta(\nu y.\psi(y),\sigma,k) = \delta(\psi(\nu y.\psi(y)),\sigma,k)$

Note that since φ is guarded, the definition is not circular.

Let \approx be an equivalence relation on μ -calculus formulas such that $\varphi \approx \psi$ if $\varphi \in cl(\psi)$ and $\psi \in cl(\varphi)$.

 $F = \{\text{set of formulas that are equivalent to some formula } \nu y.\psi(y) \in cl(\varphi)\}$

Theorem 4 For every alternation-free μ -calculus formula φ and a set of directions \mathcal{D} there is an alternating Büchi tree automaton \mathcal{A}_{φ} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D},\varphi})$ is exactly the set of \mathcal{D} -branching trees satisfying φ .