# Automata, Games \& Verification 

## Summary \#4

Today at 4:00pm in SR 014 Games in Verification and Synthesis Walid Haddad: Model checking games (Simulation Games postponed to June 12.)

## Complementation

Theorem 1. For each Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A}$ there exists a Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)=\Sigma^{\omega} \backslash \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{A}=(S, I, T, F)$ be a nondeterministic Büchi automaton. The run DAG of $\mathcal{A}$ on a word $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ is the directed acyclic graph $G=(V, E)$ where

- $V=\bigcup_{l \geqslant 0}\left(S_{l} \times\{l\}\right)$ where $S_{0}=I$ and $S_{l+1}=\bigcup_{s \in S_{l}\left(s, \alpha(l), s^{\prime}\right) \in T}\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}$
- $E=\left\{\left(\langle s, l\rangle,\left\langle s^{\prime}, l+1\right\rangle\right) \mid l \geqslant 0,\left(s, \alpha(l), s^{\prime}\right) \in T\right\}$

A path in a run DAG is accepting iff it visits $F$ infinitely often. The automaton accepts $\alpha$ if some path is accepting.

## Muller Automata

Definition 2. A (nondeterministic) Muller automaton $\mathcal{A}$ over alphabet $\Sigma$ is a tuple ( $S, I, T, F)$ :

- $S, I, T$ : defined as before
- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{S}$ : set of accepting subsets, called the table.

Definition 3. A run $r$ of a Muller automaton is accepting iff $\operatorname{In}(r) \in F$

Theorem 2. For every (deterministic) Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A}$, there is a (deterministic) Muller automaton $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 3. For every nondeterministic Muller automaton $\mathcal{A}$ there is a nondeterministic Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 4. The languages recognizable by deterministic Muller automata are closed under boolean operations.

Theorem 5. A language $\mathcal{L}$ is recognizable by a deterministic Muller automaton iff $\mathcal{L}$ is a boolean combination of languages $\vec{W}$ where $W \subseteq$ $\Sigma^{*}$ is regular.

## Parity, Rabin, Streett

- A parity automaton is a tuple $(S, I, T, c: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$. A run $r$ of a parity automaton is accepting iff $\max \{c(s) \mid s \in \operatorname{In}(r)\}$ is even.
- A Rabin automaton is a tuple $\left(S, I, T,\left\{\left(A_{i}, R_{i}\right) \mid i \in J\right\}\right)$. A run $r$ of a Rabin automaton is accepting iff, for some $i \in J, \operatorname{In}(r) \cap A_{i} \neq \varnothing$ and $\operatorname{In}(r) \cap R_{i}=\varnothing$.
- A Streett automaton is a tuple $\left(S, I, T,\left\{\left(A_{i}, R_{i}\right) \mid i \in J\right\}\right)$. A run $r$ of a Streett automaton is accepting iff, for all $i \in J, \operatorname{In}(r) \cap A_{i} \neq \varnothing$ or $\operatorname{In}(r) \cap R_{i}=\varnothing$.

Theorem 6. [Tutorial] Büchi, Muller, Rabin, Streett and parity automata are equally expressive.

Theorem 7. [Tutorial] Deterministic Muller, Rabin, Streett and parity automata are equally expressive.

