#### **Infinite Games**

Lecture 15

Martin Zimmermann

Universität des Saarlandes

February 6th, 2014

#### **Plan for Today**

- Review
- Exam
  - Organizational matters
  - Questions
- Outlook: even more games



# Reachability

# Name:Format:

# **Reachability Game** $(\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{REACH}(R))$ with $R \subseteq V$



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $\operatorname{Occ}(\rho) \cap R \neq \emptyset$ linear time in |E|attractor uniform positional uniform positional safety

## Safety

# Name: Format:

# Safety Game $(\mathcal{A}, \text{SAFE}(S))$ with $S \subseteq V$



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $\operatorname{Occ}(\rho) \subseteq S$ linear time in |E|dualize + attractor uniform positional uniform positional reachability

## Büchi



# **Büchi Game** $(\mathcal{A}, \text{BÜCHI}(F))$ with $F \subseteq V$



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $Inf(\rho) \cap F \neq \emptyset \\ \mathbf{P}$ 

iterated attractor uniform positional uniform positional co-Büchi

## Co-Büchi



# **Co-Büchi Game** $(\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{COBÜCHI}(\mathcal{C}))$ with $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$



	Winning	condition:
--	---------	------------

- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm: dualize + iterated attractor
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

Martin Zimmermann Universität des Saarlandes Infinite Games - Lecture 15

 $Inf(\rho) \subseteq C \\ \mathbf{P}$ 

+ iterated attractor uniform positional uniform positional Büchi

# Parity



# **Parity Game** $(\mathcal{A}, \text{PARITY}(\Omega))$ with $\Omega: V \to \mathbb{N}$



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:

 $\min(\inf(\Omega(\rho)))$  even **NP**  $\cap$  **co-NP** 

uniform positional

uniform positional

- Algorithm: progress measures and many others
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

parity

# Muller



Solution complexity:

 $\operatorname{Inf}(\rho) \in \mathcal{F}$ **P**, **NP** ∩ **co**-**NP**, **PSPACE**-complete

- Algorithm: reduction to parity and many others
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

Muller

V

## **Pushdown Parity**



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $\begin{array}{l} \min( \inf(\Omega(\rho))) \text{ even} \\ \textbf{EXPTIME}\text{-complete} \\ \text{reduction to parity games} \\ \text{infinite (pd. transducer)} \\ \text{infinite (pd. transducer)} \\ \text{pushdown parity} \end{array}$ 

### **Generalized Reachability**



# Generalized Reachability Game $(\mathcal{A}, CHREACH(\mathcal{R}))$ with $\mathcal{R} \subseteq 2^V$



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall R \in \mathcal{R}. \operatorname{Occ}(\rho) \cap R \neq \emptyset \\ \textbf{PSPACE-complete} \\ \text{Simulate for } |V| \cdot |\mathcal{R}| \text{ steps} \\ 2^{|\mathcal{R}|} \\ \binom{|\mathcal{R}|}{\lfloor_{|\mathcal{R}|/2}\rfloor} \\ \text{disjunctive safety} \end{array}$ 

## Weak Parity



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

 $\min(\operatorname{Occ}(\Omega(\rho)))$  even **P** 

iterated attractor uniform positional uniform positional weak parity

### Weak Muller

Name:Format:

Weak Muller Game  $(\mathcal{A}, \text{WMULLER}(\mathcal{F}))$  with  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^V$ 



- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:

 $Occ(\rho) \in \mathcal{F}$ **PSPACE**-complete

- Algorithm: reduction to weak parity or direct one
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

weak Muller

2|V|

2|V|

#### **Request-Response**



 $\forall j \forall n (\rho_n \in Q_i \rightarrow \exists m \geq n. \rho_m \in P_i)$ 

EXPTIME-complete reduction to Büchi

- Winning condition:
- Solution complexity:
- Algorithm:
- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

n/a

 $k \cdot 2^k$ 

 $2^k$ 

### Rabin



- Winning condition:  $\exists j(\operatorname{Inf}(\rho) \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset \land \operatorname{Inf}(\rho) \cap P_j = \emptyset)$
- Solution complexity:

NP-complete

- Algorithm: reduction to parity or direct one
- Memory requirements for Player 0: uniform positional
- Memory requirements for Player 1:
- Dual game:

Streett

k!

#### Streett

#### Name: Format:

 $(\mathcal{A}, \text{STREETT}((Q_j, P_j)_{j \in [k]}))$  with  $Q_j, P_j \subseteq V$ 



- $\forall j(\operatorname{Inf}(\rho) \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset \to \operatorname{Inf}(\rho) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset)$ Winning condition: co-NP-complete
- Solution complexity:

reduction to parity or direct one

- Memory requirements for Player 0:
- Memory requirements for Player 1: uniform positional
- Dual game:

Algorithm:

Rabin

k!

Streett Game






















































#### S2S and Parity Tree Automata

- S2S: Monadic Second-order logic over two successors
- PTA: Parity tree automata

Both formalisms are equivalent:

- For every  $\mathscr{A}$  exists  $\varphi_{\mathscr{A}}$  s.t.  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A}) \Leftrightarrow t \models \varphi_{\mathscr{A}}$
- $\blacksquare \text{ For every } \varphi \text{ exists } \mathscr{A}_{\varphi} \text{ s.t. } t \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A}_{\varphi})$

Consequence: Satisfiability of S2S reduces to PTA emptiness

(Parity) Games everywhere:

- Acceptance game  $\mathcal{G}(\mathscr{A}, t)$  for complement closure of PTA
- Emptiness game  $\mathcal{G}(\mathscr{A})$  for emptiness check of PTA

#### "The mother of all decidability results"



# **Organizational Matters**

#### End-of-term exam

When: February 13th, 2014, 09:30 - 11:30
Where: HS 003, Building E1.3
Mode: Open-book
What to bring: Student ID
Exam inspection: Feb. 14th, 2014, 15:00 - 16:00 (Room 328?)

# **Organizational Matters**

#### End-of-term exam

When:	February 13th, 2014, 09:30 - 11:30
Where:	HS 003, Building E1.3
Mode:	Open-book
What to bring:	Student ID
Exam inspection: Fe	b. 14th, 2014, 15:00 - 16:00 (Room 328?)

**End-of-semester exam:** March 20th, 2014 (more information after first exam)

## Questions

Challenge us before we challenge you in the exam.

# Questions

Challenge us before we challenge you in the exam.

There will also be a tutorial where you can ask further questions!

When: March 11th, 2014, 16:00 - 18:00
Where: SR U.11, Building E2.5

# Outlook

# (Simple) Stochastic Games

• Enter a new player ( $\diamondsuit$ ), it flips a coin to pick a successor.



# (Simple) Stochastic Games

**E**nter a new player ( $\diamondsuit$ ), it flips a coin to pick a successor.



No (sure) winning strategy......but one with probability 1.

# (Simple) Stochastic Games

• Enter a new player ( $\diamondsuit$ ), it flips a coin to pick a successor.



No (sure) winning strategy......but one with probability 1.

More formally: Value of the game

```
\max_{\sigma} \min_{\tau} p_{\sigma,\tau}
```

where  $p_{\sigma,\tau}$  is the probability that Player 0 wins when using strategy  $\sigma$  and Player 1 uses strategy  $\tau$ .

Both players choose their moves simultaneously Matching pennies:



Both players choose their moves simultaneously
Matching pennies: randomized strategy winning with probability 1.



Both players choose their moves simultaneously
Matching pennies: randomized strategy winning with probability 1.



The "Snowball Game":



Both players choose their moves simultaneously
Matching pennies: randomized strategy winning with probability 1.



The "Snowball Game": for every  $\varepsilon$ , randomized strategy winning with probability  $1 - \varepsilon$ .



## **Games of Imperfect Information**

- Players do not observe sequence of states, but sequence of non-unique observations (yellow, purple, blue, brown).
- Player 0 picks action (*a*,*b*), Player 1 resolves non-determinism.



# **Games of Imperfect Information**

- Players do not observe sequence of states, but sequence of non-unique observations (yellow, purple, blue, brown).
- Player 0 picks action (a,b), Player 1 resolves non-determinism.



No winning strategy for Player 0: every fixed choice of actions to pick at  $(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc)^*(\bigcirc \bigcirc)$  can be countered by going to  $v_1$  or  $v_2$ .

Level-1 stack: finite sequence over Γ (standard stack)

• Level-(k + 1) stack: finite sequence of level-k stacks

- Level-1 stack: finite sequence over Γ (standard stack)
- Level-(k + 1) stack: finite sequence of level-k stacks
- Operations (various definitions possible):
  - $push_{\gamma}$  and  $pop_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ : push and pop on level 1
  - copy<sub>k</sub>: copy the topmost level-k stack and add it to the level-(k + 1) stack
  - delete<sub>k</sub>: delete the topmost level-k stack

- Level-1 stack: finite sequence over Γ (standard stack)
- Level-(k + 1) stack: finite sequence of level-k stacks
- Operations (various definitions possible):
  - $push_{\gamma}$  and  $pop_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ : push and pop on level 1
  - copy<sub>k</sub>: copy the topmost level-k stack and add it to the level-(k + 1) stack
  - delete<sub>k</sub>: delete the topmost level-k stack

Example: on the blackboard

- Level-1 stack: finite sequence over Γ (standard stack)
- Level-(k + 1) stack: finite sequence of level-k stacks
- Operations (various definitions possible):
  - $push_{\gamma}$  and  $pop_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ : push and pop on level 1
  - copy<sub>k</sub>: copy the topmost level-k stack and add it to the level-(k + 1) stack
  - delete<sub>k</sub>: delete the topmost level-k stack

Example: on the blackboard

#### Theorem

Parity games on configuration graphs of higher-order pushdown automata can be solved algorithmically.

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- $\blacksquare$  Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$								
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0\}}$								
Sc{0,1,2}								
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1							
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0\}}$	Ø							
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>								
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2						
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø						
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>								
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	$\overset{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø	0 Ø					
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{Sc}_{\{0,1,2\}}}_{\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	$\overset{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø				
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Sc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \\ {\rm Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \end{array}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	1 Ø	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø	1 Ø			
$\begin{array}{c} Sc_{\{0,1,2\}} \\ Acc_{\{0,1,2\}} \end{array}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	$\stackrel{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø	$\overset{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø		
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Sc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \\ {\rm Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \end{array}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	$\stackrel{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø	1 Ø	2 Ø	0 Ø	
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Sc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \\ {\rm Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \end{array}$								
- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}} \\ \operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}} \end{array}$	$\overset{1}{\emptyset}$	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø	1 Ø	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Sc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \\ {\rm Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}} \end{array}$								

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$\operatorname{Sc}_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$\operatorname{Acc}_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
$Sc_{\{0,1,2\}}$	0							
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}							

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1 Ø	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø	1 Ø	2 Ø	0 Ø	0 Ø
$\frac{Sc_{\{0,1,2\}}}{Acc_{\{0,1,2\}}}$	0 {0}	0 {0}	v	0	v	v	v	v

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0					
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$					

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0				
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$				

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0			
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$			

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0		
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$		

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	Ø

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

No longer works for Muller games. Need scoring functions:

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	Ø

#### Theorem

Player i has strategy to bound the opponent's scores by two when starting in  $W_i(\mathcal{G})$ .

- Parity games in finite time: play until first loop is closed, minimal color in loop determines winner.
- Positional determinacy  $\Rightarrow$  winning regions preserved

No longer works for Muller games. Need scoring functions:

W	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
$Sc_{\{0\}}$	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
$Acc_{\{0\}}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
Sc <sub>{0,1,2}</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
$\mathrm{Acc}_{\{0,1,2\}}$	{0}	{0}	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{0,1\}$	Ø

#### Theorem

Player i has strategy to bound the opponent's scores by two when starting in  $W_i(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Corollary:** Stopping play after first score reaches value three preserves winning regions (at most exponential play length)

#### **Games with Costs**

Parity game: Player 0 wins from everywhere, but it takes arbitrarily long two "answer" 1 by 0.



#### **Games with Costs**

Parity game: Player 0 wins from everywhere, but it takes arbitrarily long two "answer" 1 by 0.



■ Add edge-costs: Player 0 wins if there is a bound b and a position n such that every odd color after n is followed by a smaller even color with cost ≤ b in between ⇒ Player 1 wins example from everywhere (stay longer and longer in 2).

#### **Games with Costs**

Parity game: Player 0 wins from everywhere, but it takes arbitrarily long two "answer" 1 by 0.



■ Add edge-costs: Player 0 wins if there is a bound b and a position n such that every odd color after n is followed by a smaller even color with cost ≤ b in between ⇒ Player 1 wins example from everywhere (stay longer and longer in 2).

#### Theorem

Parity games with costs are determined, Player 0 has positional winning strategies, and they can be solved in  $NP \cap co-NP$ .

 More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)

- More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)
- Games on timed automata  $\Rightarrow$  uncountable arenas

- More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)
- Games on timed automata  $\Rightarrow$  uncountable arenas
- Play even longer: games of ordinal length

- More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)
- Games on timed automata  $\Rightarrow$  uncountable arenas
- Play even longer: games of ordinal length
- Games with delay: Player 0 is allowed to skip some moves to obtain lookahead on Player 1's moves. Basic question: what kind of lookahead is necessary to win.

- More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)
- Games on timed automata  $\Rightarrow$  uncountable arenas
- Play even longer: games of ordinal length
- Games with delay: Player 0 is allowed to skip some moves to obtain lookahead on Player 1's moves. Basic question: what kind of lookahead is necessary to win.
- More than two players ⇒ no longer zero-sum games. Requires whole new theory (equilibria).

- More winning conditions: various quantitative conditions (parity with costs, waiting times for RR games, and many more)
- Games on timed automata  $\Rightarrow$  uncountable arenas
- Play even longer: games of ordinal length
- Games with delay: Player 0 is allowed to skip some moves to obtain lookahead on Player 1's moves. Basic question: what kind of lookahead is necessary to win.
- More than two players ⇒ no longer zero-sum games. Requires whole new theory (equilibria).

And: any combination of extensions discussed above.

• Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?

- Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?
- How to compute optimal strategies for parity games with costs?

- Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?
- How to compute optimal strategies for parity games with costs?
- Games with delay: how much lookahead is necessary for different winning conditions? What effect has lookahead on the memory requirements?

...

- Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?
- How to compute optimal strategies for parity games with costs?
- Games with delay: how much lookahead is necessary for different winning conditions? What effect has lookahead on the memory requirements?

...

Your own idea?

- Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?
- How to compute optimal strategies for parity games with costs?
- Games with delay: how much lookahead is necessary for different winning conditions? What effect has lookahead on the memory requirements?
- ...
- Your own idea?
- Generalized reachability games with sets of size two: P, NP, or PSPACE?

- Even pushdown games can be played in finite time. What about higher-order pushdown games?
- How to compute optimal strategies for parity games with costs?
- Games with delay: how much lookahead is necessary for different winning conditions? What effect has lookahead on the memory requirements?
- ...
- Your own idea?
- Generalized reachability games with sets of size two: P, NP, or PSPACE?
- Exact complexity of parity games.

# **Thank You**

&

## Good luck for the exam