### Easy to Win, Hard to Master: Optimal Strategies in Parity Games with Costs

Joint work with Martin Zimmermann

Alexander Weinert

Saarland University

August 31st, 2016

CSL 2016 - Marseille, France

### **Parity Games**



Deciding winner in  $UP \cap CO-UP$  Positional Strategies Example due to (Fijalkow and Chatterjee, Infinite-state games, 2013)

## **Finitary Parity Games**



Goal for Player 0: Bound response times Example due to (Fijalkow and Chatterjee, Infinite-state games, 2013)

## **Decision Problem**

## **Theorem (Chatterjee et al., Finitary Winning, 2009)** The following decision problem is in PTIME: Input: Finitary parity game $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{FinParity}(\Omega))$

**Question:** Does there exist a strategy  $\sigma$  with  $Cst(\sigma) < \infty$ ?

#### Theorem

*The following decision problem is* PSPACE-*complete:* 

Input: Finitary parity game  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{FinParity}(\Omega)),$ bound  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ 

**Question:** Does there exist a strategy  $\sigma$  with  $Cst(\sigma) \leq b$ ?



# From Finitary Parity to Parity

**Given:** Finitary parity game  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{A}, \operatorname{FinParity}(\Omega))$ , bound  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Lemma

Deciding if Player 0 has strategy  $\sigma$  with  $Cst(\sigma) \leq b$  is in PSPACE. Idea: Simulate game, keeping track of open requests.

#### Lemma

Player 0 has such a strategy iff she "survives" p(|G|) steps in extended game G'.

#### Algorithm:

Simulate all plays in  $\mathcal{G}'$  on-the-fly for  $p(|\mathcal{G}|)$  steps using an alternating Turing machine.

 $\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \mbox{Problem is in } APTIME \\ \mbox{(Chandra et al., Alternation, 1981)} \\ \Rightarrow \mbox{Problem is in } PSPACE \end{array}$ 



#### Lemma

The given decision problem is PSPACE-hard.

Idea: Reduction from Quantified Boolean Formulas, e.g.:





# Memory Requirements (for Player 0)

### Theorem

Optimal strategies for parity games require exponential memory.

Sufficiency: Corollary of proof of PSPACE-membership

**Necessity:** Construct family  $\mathcal{G}_d$ :



(Fijalkow and Chatterjee, Infinite-state games, 2013)

Player 0 needs to store *d* choices of *d* possible values each  $\Rightarrow$  Player 0 requires  $\approx 2^d$  many memory states



# Conclusion

	Parity	Finitary Parity		
		Winning	Optimal	
Complexity Strategies	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{UP}\cap\mathrm{co}\text{-}\mathrm{UP}\\ 1\end{array}$	PTime 1	PSpace-comp. Exp.	

**Take-away:** Forcing Player 0 to answer quickly in (finitary) parity games makes it harder

- to decide whether she can satisfy the bound
- for her to play the game



## **Tradeoffs**

$$\xrightarrow{G_1} \xrightarrow{G_1} \xrightarrow{G_1} \xrightarrow{G_0} \xrightarrow{G_0}$$

	Winning				Optimal
Size	1	d	•••	$2^{d-1}$	2 <sup>d</sup>
Cost	3 <i>d</i>	3d - 1		2d + 1	2 <i>d</i>



## Parity Games with Cost



# Conclusion

	Parity	Cost-Parity		
		Winning	Optimal	
Complexity Strategies	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{UP} \cap \mathrm{co-UP} \\ 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{UP} \cap \mathrm{co-UP} \\ 1 \end{array}$	PSpace-comp. Exp.	

**Take-away:** Forcing Player 0 to answer quickly in parity games makes it harder

- to decide whether she can satisfy the bound
- for her to play the game